

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/040,539		12/28/2001	Ramakrishna S. Madabhushi	4221C6	4791
22896	7590	10/18/2004	·	EXAMINER	
MILA KASAN, PATENT DEPT. APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS				REDDICK, MARIE L	
850 LINCOLN CENTRE DRIVE FOSTER CITY, CA 94404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/040,539	MADABHUSHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Judy M. Reddick	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ılv 2004.	·
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been i	oplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Immary (PTO-413)
2)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 
		•

Application/Control Number: 10/040,539

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 07/26/04, has been fully considered with the following results. The newly recited limitation "the charge-carrying component" per claims 22, 28 & 29 engenders an issue of New Matter as set forth infra. The Terminal Disclaimer filed 07/26/04 is sufficient to remove the nonstatutory double patenting rejections based on the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,916,426 & 6,355,709.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As far as the Examiner can tell, no support can be found for the limitation "wherein the charge-carrying component and the surface interaction component are the same or different" per claims 22, 28 & 29 and this, as such, without any iron-clad evidence from applicant as to where support might be found, engenders a New Matter situation. Applicant is cordially directed to page 6, lines 33-35, wherein it is taught that the "sieving component" and the "surface interaction" component may be the same or different.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see the REMARKS/Terminal Disclaimer, filed 07/26/04, with respect to the double patenting rejections based on the claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,916,426 & 6,355,709 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Double Patenting rejections of claims 22-29 have been withdrawn.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/040,539

Art Unit: 1713

Page 3

5. Claims 22-29 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 22-29 would be allowed if amended, rewritten and/or satisfactorily rebutted so as to obviate the New Matter Issue raised supra.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (571)272-1110. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Judy M. Reddick

Application/Control Number: 10/040,539

Art Unit: 1713

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR Jmpl 10/12/04 Page 4